Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

MAILED

James H. Salter BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles CA 90025-1026

SEP 0 4 2003

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Paper No. 10

DECISION ON PETITION

TO MAKE SPECIAL

(INFRINGEMENT)

In re application of

Peter Dickstein, et al. Application No. 09/752,650

Filed: December 29, 2000

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD TO ORGANIZE

. STOTEM AND METHOD TO OROAL

AND MANAGE CORPORATE

CAPITALIZATION AND SECURITIES

This is a decision on the renewed repetition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed June 17, 2003 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed June 17, 2003 includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Randolph A. Reese

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-2121

RAR/ekn 9/3/03